FCC RED 3:55 TISPM N

ORIGINAL

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
2	Before the
3	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554
4	
5	
6	IN THE MATTER OF:
7	BENCHMARK COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION MM DOCKET NO. 94-47 Chatom, Alabama
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	DATE OF HEARING: June 22, 1994 VOLUME: 1
25	PLACE OF HEARING: Washington, D.C. PAGES: 1-7

1	Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
2	Washington, D.C. 20554
3)
4) In the matter of:
5	BENCHMARK COMMUICATIONS CORPORATION)
6	Chatom, Alabama) Docket No. 94-47
7)
8	The above-entitled matter came on for conference pursuant to Notice before Judge Edward Luton, Administrative
9	Law Judge, at 2000 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., in Courtroom No. 2, on Wednesday, June 22nd, 1994, at 9:05 a.m.
10	APPEARANCES:
11	On behalf of Benchmark Communications Corporation:
12	JOHN MEYERS, ESQUIRE
13	
14	On behalf of Hap-Hazard Broadcasting Company:
15	ANN C. FARHAT, ESQUIRE Bechtel & Cole, Chartered
16 17	Suite 250 1901 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036
18	Washington, D.C. 20036
19	On behalf of Chief, Mass Media Bureau:
20	JAMES W. SHOOK, ESQUIRE Mass Media Bureau
21	2025 M Street N.W., Suite 7212 Washington, D.C. 20554
22	
23	
24	
25	

1			I N D	E X			
2						P	age
3						-	
4	Opening Stateme	nts By:	Judge Lu	ton			3
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21						,	
22							
23							
24							
25	Hearing began:	9:05 a.	m.	Hearing	Ended:	9:10	a.m.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE LUTON: Mr. Meyer, are you on?
3	MR. MEYERS: Yes, good morning, sir. How are you?
4	JUDGE LUTON: Good morning. Just fine. All right,
5	we're ready then. Let's have appearances, please, starting on
6	my left.
7	MS. FARHAT: Yes, Your Honor. I'm not technically a
8	good morning. I'm not technically a party in this
9	proceeding at this point in time, but my name is Ann C. Farhat
10	and I'm with Harry F. Cole. We are counsel for Hap-Hazard
11	Broadcasting Company.
12	JUDGE LUTON: Okay.
13	MR. SHOOK: James Shook for the Chief, Mass Media
14	Bureau.
15	JUDGE LUTON: All right. And Ms. Meyer, who is
16	appearing for Benchmark?
17	MR. MEYERS: I am. John Raymond Meyer, spelled
18	M-E-Y-E-R-S.
19	JUDGE LUTON: Thank you. What I want to do this
20	morning was set some dates for the further progress of this,
21	this case and that's primarily it. Before I do, let me just
22	take note of Ms. Farhat's presence here this morning and
23	address what I think it's all about. I believe it's in
24	connection with a petition to intervene filed by Hap-Hazard on
25	June the 7th. That petition has been opposed by both

Benchmark and by the Bureau and quite frankly I thought that the oppositions were pretty -- were really quite persuasive 2 and I'm prepared to deny that Petition to Intervene for the 3 4 reason that I don't think, as the Bureau points out, that the assertions made there meet the requirements of either Section 5 6 A or B of the Rules, the Rule being 1.223. Doesn't say 7 anything about interference, doesn't say anything about how 8 the participation of Hap-Hazard might be expected to assist the commission in the resolution of the designated issues. 9 10 Well, it, it says what it says, the petition does, and in my 11 opinion it doesn't say very much. Certainly not enough to warrant intervention and I'm not going to receive discussion 12 13 on that petition this morning so that it might be revived by 14 that discussion. Instead, I'm going to rule on the paper 15 that's before me, namely, that Petition to Intervene filed 16 June 7 by Hap-Hazard. I see that the Mass Media Bureau has 17 begun its discovery. How is that proceeding, Mr. Shook? 18 Your Honor, we have sent our request for MR. SHOOK: 19 admissions and for genuineness of documents. There is still 20 time before responses are due on those and I was not going to 21 conduct further discovery until, you know, I had Your Honor's 22 imprimatur because in cases I've had with you in the past in 23 these situations generally you like to, you know, set some 24 dates and give everybody a chance to understand what's going 25 on here before we get started. So -- but once dates are set I

have, I have every intention of requesting documents and then 1 Mr. Meyers and I have discussed informally the taking of his 2 3 deposition and that is what I anticipate the discovery being 4 right now. 5 JUDGE LUTON: All right. The hearing is scheduled 6 for October 18. That gives us lots of time for discovery and 7 whatever else needs to be done. I am going to suggest dates 8 for each side to let the other side know what witnesses that 9 each side intends to call on the direct cases. And I'm going 10 to suggest a date for the exchange of exhibits, exhibits meaning the written testimony of the witnesses who will 11 12 testify. The hearing is scheduled for October the 18th. 13 expect we'll be able to keep that date. A week prior to that 14 I think would be time enough for witnesses to be named, each 15 side to be informed of the witnesses that are to be called by 16 the other side. Approximately two weeks before that, 17 approximately September 30, I'm going to ask that the parties 18 exchange their written exhibits in the case. So that we'll 19 have exhibits exchanged September the 30th, exhibits will be 20 reviewed, witnesses will be named October the 11th and we'll go to hearing on October the 18th. How are those dates? 21 22 Mr. Meyers? 23

Sounds very good to me, sir. MR. MEYERS:

JUDGE LUTON: All right. Mr. Shook.

24

25

MR. SHOOK: It's acceptable, Your Honor.

1	JUDGE LUTON: All right. I'm not quite sure I
2	understood what you were saying, Mr. Shook, about not
3	proceeding with discovery more discovery, you're waiting
4	for something to happen. Was it the setting of these dates?
5	MR. SHOOK: In part, Your Honor, and also in part I
6	wanted to receive the admissions and the statements
7	JUDGE LUTON: You wanted to responses to what you've
8	already
9	MR. SHOOK: I do.
10	JUDGE LUTON: Yeah, okay, but now you're intending
11	to proceed?
12	MR. SHOOK: I am.
13	JUDGE LUTON: All right, fine.
14	MR. MEYERS: Your Honor?
15	JUDGE LUTON: Yes, sir.
16	MR. MEYERS: I am intending to put the answers to
17	those admissions in the mail or in Federal Express this
18	afternoon.
19	JUDGE LUTON: Okay. So long I would only ask
20	that you do it within the time that the, that the rules allow.
21	I don't intend to press you on that at all since I don't know
22	where we are really with respect to the running of the time.
23	If you're prepared to make the responses go right ahead. Does
24	anyone have anything else that ought to be raised at this
25	time? Thank you, Mr. Meyers.

1	MR. MEYERS: Thank you.
2	JUDGE LUTON: Thank you, Mr. Shook.
3	MR. SHOOK: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE LUTON: Thank you, Ms. Farhat.
5	(Whereupon, matter adjourned at 9:10 a.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

IN RE APPLICATIONS CHATOM ALABAMA

MM DOCKET NO. 94		
THE DOCKET NO. 34	1-47	
Docket No.		
WASHINGTON, D.C.		
Place		
June 22, 1994		
Date		
reporting by the above ident provisions of t professional ve Work and have ve comparing the t	through 7 , inclusive, are and complete transcript prepared from the Alice Wehner in attendance ified proceeding, in accordance with application current Federal Communications Commission bettim reporting and transcription Statement ified the accuracy of the transcript by appearance transcript against the reporting processing the proportion of the transcript by a power transcript against the reporting transcript against against transcript against again	ce at cable on's nt of (1)
final proofed t	plished at the proceeding and (2) comparing poweritten transcript against the reporting plished at the proceeding.	g the
final proofed t	prewritten transcript against the reporting plished at the proceeding.	g the
final proofed trecording accomp	ypewritten transcript against the reporting	g th